P177

# Clinical Performance of the DigniCap System, a Scalp Hypothermia System, in Preventing Chemotherapy Induced Alopecia

Hope S. Rugo<sup>1</sup>, Paula Klein<sup>2</sup>, Susan Anitra Melin<sup>3</sup>, Sara A. Hurvitz<sup>4</sup>, Michelle E. Melisko<sup>1</sup>, Anne Moore<sup>5</sup>, Glen D Park<sup>6</sup>, Erika Bågeman<sup>7</sup>, Ralph D'Agostino Jr.<sup>3</sup>, Elizabeth S. Ver Hoeve<sup>1</sup>, Tessa Cigler<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of California San Francisco, <sup>2</sup>Mount Sinai Beth Israel/Mount Sinai Roosevelt, <sup>3</sup>Wake Forest University, <sup>4</sup>University of California Los Angeles, <sup>5</sup>Weil Cornell Medical Center, <sup>6</sup>Target Health, <sup>7</sup>Dignitana

# BACKGROUND

- Chemotherapy-induced alopecia is one of the most common and emotionally distressing side effects of cancer therapy [Lemieux 2008, Hesketh 2004, van den Hurk 2010].
- Although scalp cooling has been available for several decades in Europe, use has been limited in the U.S. due to lack of FDA approval and concerns about the theoretical risk of scalp metastases.
  - A review of all published studies found no evidence of an increase in scalp metastases in those using scalp cooling [Rugo 2013].
  - A review of 1370 women treated in Canada found no impact of scalp cooling on survival from early stage breast cancer [Lemieux 2015].
- Following a successful 20 patient pilot study [Rugo 2013] the clinical performance of scalp cooling using the DigniCap System was evaluated in a multicenter prospective trial for FDA clearance.

# OBJECTIVES

The primary endpoint was efficacy of hair preservation one month after the completion of all chemotherapy, with success defined as patient self-assessed maximum Dean score of  $\leq 2$  (Table 1).

Secondary objectives included safety, as determined by patient reported adverse events and by scalp examination. Patients are being followed annually for 5 years to determine the incidence of scalp metastases.

### **METHODS**

#### Study Design

- Prospective, non-randomized, open label, concurrent age and treatment-matched control, multicenter study.
- Patients who chose not to undergo scalp cooling were enrolled as controls.

#### Eligibility

Women, age 18 or older, with stage I-II breast cancer receiving common neo/adjuvant chemotherapy regimens, excluding sequential or concurrent anthracycline/taxanes.

Т	able 1: De	an scale
	Dean Grade	Perce
	Grade 0	I
	Grade 1	>0 up
	Grade 2	> <b>25%</b> u
	Grade 3	>50% u
	Grade 4	>7
	RESILL	<u>с – Сн</u>

122 patients were enrolled; 106\* DigniCap & 16 controls. \*5 patients were not evaluable for the primary endpoint: Toxicity from chemotherapy discontinuing early (4); ineligible with stage 3a disease, one month follow-up not completed (1).

 
 Table 2: Chemotherapy Regimens in Treatment and
**Control Groups** 

**Chemotherapy Regime** & Dose

#### **Totals**

Docetaxel 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup> & cyclophosphamide 600 every 3 weeks or 4 - 6 Paclitaxel 80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> weekly for 12 cycles

Docetaxel 75mg/m<sup>2</sup>, carboplatin AUC 6 for ( every 3 weeks, trastuzumab weekly or weeks, with or withou pertuzumab every 3 w

Docetaxel 75 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, trastuzumab<sup>,</sup> pertuzum every 3 weeks for 6 cy Doxorubicin 60 mg/m<sup>2</sup> cyclophosphamide 600 every 3 weeks for 4 cyc Contact: hrugo@medicine.ucsf.edu

## **METHODS** (Cont.) e [Dean 1979] Success/Failure tage of Hair Loss No hair loss to 25% hair loss Treatment Success p to 50% hair loss up to 75% hair loss **Treatment Failure** 75% hair loss

# **RESULTS – CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS**

n	DigniCap N(%)	Controls N(%)		
	101	16		
mg/m² cycles	76 (75%)	10 (62.5%)		
	12 (12%)	2 (12.5%)		
every 3 eeks	12 (12%)	3 (19%)		
ab les	1 (1%)	0		
& mg/m <sup>2</sup> les	0	1 (6%)		

# **RESULTS - EFFICACY**

### Table 3: Efficacy results at 1 month after completion of chemotherapy

	DigniCap N = 101		Control	
Dean Score	N (%)	Success/ Failure % (N)	Control N (%)	
0	6 (5.95%)	Success	0 (0.0%)	
1	33 (32.7%)	70.3%** (71, 95% CI,	0 (0.0%)	
2	32 (31.7%)	60.4-79.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
3	19 (18.8%)	Failure:	6.3% (1)	
4	11 (10.9%)	29.7% (30)	93.8% (15)	-
**p-value	for comparing	DigniCap to contro	l P<0.001 from	a

### Table 4: Success by Chemotherapy Regimen in **Treatment and Control Groups**

Chemotherapy	DigniCap	Cor
	Treatment success <sup>6</sup>	Treatmen
TC <sup>1</sup>	48/76 (63.2%)	0/
TCarbo <sup>2</sup>	10/12 (83.3%)	0,
Paclitaxel <sup>3</sup>	12/12 (100%)	0,
Docetaxel <sup>4</sup>	1/1 (100%)	0,
AC <sup>5</sup>	0/0	0,

<sup>1</sup>TC: Docetaxel/cyclophosphamide x 4-6; <sup>2</sup>TCarbo: Docetaxel/carboplatin + HER2 targeted therapy x 4-6; <sup>3</sup>Paclitaxel: Paclitaxel weekly x 12; <sup>4</sup>Docetaxel + HER2 targeted therapy x 4-6 ; <sup>5</sup>AC: Doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide x 4; <sup>6</sup>Treatment success: Dean score  $\leq 2$ 



### Abstract #9518